Recap 7

Regularization ISLR 6, ESL 3

Jilles Vreeken Krikamol Muandet







Lecture Recap

Subset Selection

- Only use a subset of the variables in the model. This reduces the flexibility in the model, but a small subset of the coefficients makes the model more interpretable.
- Find the best model for every possible subset of predictors. There are 2^p such models.
- However one can also iteratively append or eliminate features greedily. By selecting the predictor which improve the performance most or eliminating feature that reduce performance by least.

Subset Selection

One-standard-error rule: *Choose the simplest model within one standard error of the best model*



Best subset selection on the Credit data Training error measured via RSS Best subset selection on the Credit data Training error measured via R²

Lecture Recap

Shrinkage Methods

- Penalize models with large or with many non-zero coefficients. The tuning parameter λ adjusts the relative weight of fit and penalty
- Ridge regression penalizes models that are complex in terms of having large coefficients. While Lasso regression yields naturally sparse models.

Intuition Ridge and Lasso

Ridge Regression

minimize $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(y_i - \beta_0 - \sum_{j=1}^{p} \beta_j x_{ij} \right)^2$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^{p} \beta_j^2 \le s$

- objective defines a circle in coefficient space
- this generalizes to more dimensions



• Lasso
minimize
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(y_i - \beta_0 - \sum_{j=1}^{p} \beta_j x_{ij} \right)^2$$
 such that $\sum_{j=1}^{p} |\beta_j| \le s$

- objective defines a diamond in coefficient space
- this generalizes to more dimensions



Lecture Recap

- High Dimensional Data
 - In high dimensions, methods like least squares suggest a perfect fit, but are too flexible and overfit

What Goes Wrong in High-Dimensions

Simulated example

- least-squares regression
- 20 observations
- 1 to 20 features, all completely unrelated to the response
- there is nothing to learn, but nevertheless the correlation rapidly becomes ideal the more features we include
- the training error reduces to zero



What Goes Wrong in High-Dimensions

Simulated example

- least-squares regression
- 20 observations
- 1 to 20 features, all completely unrelated to the response
- there is nothing to learn, but nevertheless the correlation rapidly becomes ideal the more features we include
- the training error reduces to zero
- the test error points very simple models out as the best
- simple model selection techniques like C_p , AIC, BIC do not work well in high-dimensional settings
- adjusted R² often approaches 1 and cannot be used either

