

Deadline: Thursday, February 01, 2024, 15:00

Before solving the exercises, read the instructions on the course website.

- For each theoretical problem, submit a single **pdf** file that contains your answer to the respective problem. This file may be a scan of your (legible) handwriting.
- For each practical problem, submit a single **zip** file that contains
 - the completed jupyter notebook (.ipynb) file,
 - any necessary files required to reproduce your results, and
 - a pdf report generated from the jupyter notebook that shows all your results.
- For the bonus question, submit a single **zip file** that contains
 - a pdf file that includes your answers to the theoretical part,
 - the completed jupyter notebook (.ipynb) file for the practical component,
 - any necessary files required to reproduce your results, and
 - a pdf report generated from the jupyter notebook that shows your results.
- Every team member has to submit a signed Code of Conduct.
- **IMPORTANT** You must make the team on CMS *before* you upload the solutions. If you upload the solutions first and create the team after it, the solution will not show for the new team member!

Problem 1 (T, 3 Points). Interpretibility

In the lecture, you have seen the term "interpretability" come up to describe certain models.

- 1. (1 Point) Describe what we mean by *interpretability*.
- 2. (1 Point) "An interpretable model is *always* a better option than a less interpretable one". Explain whether you agree or disagree with this statement.
- 3. (1 Point) We have learned in the lecture that Trees are among highly interpretable models. Suppose you learn that Neural Networks, a highly *non*-interpretable model class, can always be represented by a decision tree. Does that mean Neural Networks are now highly interpretable? Explain whether you agree or disagree.

Problem 2 (T, 8 Points). Decision Trees

- 1. (3 Points) Sketch a tree corresponding to the partition of the predictor space indicated in Fig. 1. The numbers inside the boxes indicate the mean of Y within each region.
- 2. (3 Points) Now look at the tree shown in Fig 2. Sketch what the partition space for this tree would look like.
- 3. (2 Points) Consider four cases (a-d) shown in Fig 3. Each case represents a decision boundary between two classes marked in green and blue respectively. For each of the cases, choose if the decision boundary is better modelled by linear-regression or a classification tree, or neither of the two. Justify your choice in each case.





Figure 1: Predictor Space over variables X_1 and X_2



Figure 2: Decision tree over variables X_1 and X_2



Figure 3: Decision boundaries defined between two classes (blue and green)



Problem 3 (T, 9 Points). Support Vector Machines

- 1. (3 Points, ISLP Exc. 9.7.2) We have seen that in p = 2 dimensions, a linear decision boundary takes the form $\beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 = 0$. We now investigate a non-linear decision boundary.
 - (a) (0.5 Point) Sketch the curve $(1 + X_1)^2 + (2 X_2)^2 = 4$.
 - (b) (0.5 Point) On your sketch, indicate the set of points for which $(1 + X_1)^2 + (2 X_2)^2 > 4$ and the set of points for which $(1 + X_1)^2 + (2 X_2)^2 \le 4$
 - (c) (1 Point) Suppose that a classifier assigns an observation to the blue class if $(1 + X_1)^2 + (2 X_2)^2 > 4$, and to the red class otherwise. To what class are the following observations classified? (0,0), (-1,1), (2,2), (3,8).
 - (d) (1 Point) Argue that while the decision boundary in (c) is not linear in terms of X_1 and X_2 , it is linear in terms of X_1, X_2, X_1^2 , and X_2^2 .
- 2. (2 Points) Provide and explain one case where you would prefer *One versus One* approach to *One* vs All for multi-class classification. Provide one example and explain where you would prefer *One vs* All.
- 3. (4 Points) Consider the following optimization problem for Support Vector Classifier given in ISLP book Section 9.2.2. This classifier can be used to learn a linear decision boundary between two classes.

$$maximize_{\beta_0,\beta_1,\dots,\beta_p,\epsilon_0,\epsilon_1,\dots,\epsilon_p,M}M \tag{3.1}$$

subject to
$$\sum_{j=1}^{p} \beta_j^2 = 1,$$
 (3.2)

$$y_i \left(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_2 x_{i2} + \dots + \beta_p x_{ip}\right) \ge M(1 - \epsilon_i)$$
(3.3)

$$\epsilon_i \ge 0, \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i \le C. \tag{3.4}$$

- (a) (1 Point) Explain how the variables ϵ_i and C are related.
- (b) (1 Point) Explain why the following sentence is true or false: "As the value of C increases, the bias of the classifier decreases and the variance increases"
- (c) (1 Point) Write down the optimization problem if we want to change the linear decision boundary to a quadratic decision boundary.
- (d) (1 Point) How would you change the support vector classifier such that it can fit arbitrary non-linear decision boundary? (*Hint: Read Section 9.3 ISLP*)



Problem 4 (P, 15 Points). Trees and Forests and Bags and Correlations

In this exercise, we will study how correlated the individual trees in Bagging and in Random Forests are.

- (a) (1 Point) Load the data in train.csv and compute the correlation of each predictor variable X_i with the target variable y.
- (b) (2 Points) Use bagging to train B = 50 Regression Trees T^b on the data X.
- (c) (3 Points) Load the data test.csv and compute the average correlation between the predictions $y_{\text{pred}}^b = T^b(X_{\text{test}})$ for different Trees $T^b, b = 1, \dots, 50$.
- (d) (2 Points) Similarly compute the average correlation between the residuals $y_{\text{test}} y_{\text{pred}}^b$. Contrast the result with that derived from part (c) and explain which measure of correlation is more useful.
- (e) (2 Points) For each $q \in \{0.25, 0.50, 0.75, 1.0\}$, train a Random Forest Regression with 50 trees on the training data, in which each tree uses only a fraction q of all available predictors.
- (f) (2 Points) Recompute the correlations from (d) for each of the random forests, and plot the results in a suitable manner. Explain what you see.
- (g) (3 Points) Compute the variable importances for each variable for each forest trained in (e). Plot them against the correlations computed in (a). What do you see? Why?

Note: All relevant models can be fit using sklearn.ensemble.RandomForestRegressor.



Problem 5 (Bonus, 10 Points). Non axis-aligned Decision Trees

In this problem, we will try to derive a method to learn non-axis aligned classification trees.

1. (1 Point) Look at the tree shown in Fig 4. Sketch the partition space for this classification tree.



Figure 4: Predictor Space over variables X_1 and X_2

2. (1 Point) Now Sketch a tree corresponding to the partition of the predictor space indicated in Fig. 5. Names inside the regions indicate the predicted class for that region.



Figure 5: Decision tree over variables X_1 and X_2

- 3. (0.5 x 2 Points) Recall from the lecture that for axis-aligned splits, we had the split rule of the form $R_1(j,s) = \{X|X_j < s\}$ and $R_2(j,s) = \{X|X_j \ge s\}$. What would be the split rule for the cases shown in parts 1 and 2? What would be the rule for p predictors $\{X_1, X_2, ..., X_p\}$?
- 4. (1 Point) Recall from the lecture that for axis-aligned splits, there were a total of p.(n-1) choices of splits in total. Where p is the number of dimensions and n are the number of samples. How many choices of splits do we have for the cases shown in parts 1 and 2 with n samples and p = 2?
- 5. (3 Points) Using the information from the lecture as well as the previous parts of this question, write down a pseudocode to learn classification trees with non axis-aligned splits. Make sure to specify which loss function you would use and justify why. You do not need to include the pruning step.
- 6. (1 Point) How many choices of splits are there in total for n samples and $p \in \{3, 5, 100\}$ with $n \gg p$?
- 7. $(0.5 \ge 2 \text{ Points})$ Using the answer from the previous part, give two reasons why the proposed approach may not work.
- 8. $(0.5 \ge 2 \text{ Points})$ For each of the two reasons specified above, provide a solution that we could use to make the suggested method feasible.